The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz basis reduction algorithm for lattices

Jeremy Porter

CSCI-6101

April 4, 2011

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101) The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz basis reduction a

A *lattice* in \mathbb{R}^n is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank n.

A *lattice* in \mathbb{R}^n is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank *n*.

Better yet: a lattice L is a subgroup of n-dimensional space such that

$$L = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} = L(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$$

where the b_i are linearly independent and form the basis of the lattice.

A *lattice* in \mathbb{R}^n is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank *n*.

Better yet: a lattice L is a subgroup of n-dimensional space such that

$$L = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} = L(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$$

where the b_i are linearly independent and form the basis of the lattice.

In other words, *L* is "almost" a vector space on \mathbb{R}^n , except with coefficients limited to \mathbb{Z} .

• Lattices form tilings, diving \mathbb{R}^n into infinitely many copies of its fundamental region

- 一司

- Lattices form tilings, diving \mathbb{R}^n into infinitely many copies of its fundamental region
- The basis of a lattice is not unique; however, the volume vol(L) of its fundamental region is independent of choice of basis

- Lattices form tilings, diving \mathbb{R}^n into infinitely many copies of its fundamental region
- The basis of a lattice is not unique; however, the volume vol(L) of its fundamental region is independent of choice of basis
- Also independent of basis is the *determinant* of the lattice, det(L) =vol(L)²

• Closest Vector Problem (CVP): given a target $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $\ell \in L$ closest to t

- Closest Vector Problem (CVP): given a target $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $\ell \in L$ closest to t
- Shortest Vector Problem (SVP): find the $\ell \in L$ of minimum, non-zero length

- Closest Vector Problem (CVP): given a target $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $\ell \in L$ closest to t
- Shortest Vector Problem (SVP): find the $\ell \in L$ of minimum, non-zero length
- Shortest Independent Vector Problem (SIVP): find *n* linearly independent $\ell_i \in L$ with the smallest $\max_i ||\ell_i||$

• (Ajtai, 1996) the SVP is provably NP-Hard

▲ # ↓ ★ ∃ ★

- (Ajtai, 1996) the SVP is provably NP-Hard
- (Aharonov & Regev, 2005) approximating the SVP is in NP \cap co-NP

- (Ajtai, 1996) the SVP is provably NP-Hard
- (Aharonov & Regev, 2005) approximating the SVP is in NP ∩ co-NP
- (Ajtai & Dwork, 1997) the SVP is used to construct cryptosystems with worst-case/average-case equivalence

- (Ajtai, 1996) the SVP is provably NP-Hard
- (Aharonov & Regev, 2005) approximating the SVP is in NP ∩ co-NP
- (Ajtai & Dwork, 1997) the SVP is used to construct cryptosystems with worst-case/average-case equivalence
- (Lenstra, Lenstra, & Lovasz, 1982)
 the LLL algorithm can be used as an approximation algorithm for solving the SVP within a factor of 2^{O(n)} in polynomial time

A review of linear algebra

- ∢ 🗇 እ

A review of linear algebra

Given a basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we inductively define

$$b_i^* = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j} b_j^*$$
, where $\mu_{i,j} = \frac{\langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle}{\langle b_j^*, b_j^* \rangle}$

- < A

A review of linear algebra

Given a basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we inductively define

$$b_i^* = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j} b_j^*, \text{ where } \mu_{i,j} = \frac{\langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle}{\langle b_j^*, b_j^* \rangle}$$

So for instance:

$$b_1^* = b_1$$

A review of linear algebra

Given a basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we inductively define

$$b_i^* = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j} b_j^*, \text{ where } \mu_{i,j} = \frac{\langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle}{\langle b_j^*, b_j^* \rangle}$$

So for instance:

$$egin{aligned} b_1^* &= b_1 \ b_2^* &= b_2 - rac{\langle b_2, b_1^*
angle}{\langle b_1^*, b_1^*
angle} b_1^* \end{aligned}$$

A review of linear algebra

Given a basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we inductively define

÷

$$b_i^* = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j} b_j^*, \text{ where } \mu_{i,j} = \frac{\langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle}{\langle b_j^*, b_j^* \rangle}$$

So for instance:

$$egin{aligned} b_1^* &= b_1 \ b_2^* &= b_2 - rac{\langle b_2, b_1^*
angle}{\langle b_1^*, b_1^*
angle} b_1^* \ b_3^* &= b_3 - rac{\langle b_3, b_2^*
angle}{\langle b_2^*, b_2^*
angle} b_2^* - rac{\langle b_3, b_1^*
angle}{\langle b_1^*, b_1^*
angle} b_1^* \end{aligned}$$

A review of linear algebra

Given a basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we inductively define

÷

$$b_i^* = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j} b_j^*, \text{ where } \mu_{i,j} = \frac{\langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle}{\langle b_j^*, b_j^* \rangle}$$

So for instance:

$$egin{aligned} b_1^* &= b_1 \ b_2^* &= b_2 - rac{\langle b_2, b_1^*
angle}{\langle b_1^*, b_1^*
angle} b_1^* \ b_3^* &= b_3 - rac{\langle b_3, b_2^*
angle}{\langle b_2^*, b_2^*
angle} b_2^* - rac{\langle b_3, b_1^*
angle}{\langle b_1^*, b_1^*
angle} b_1^* \end{aligned}$$

Orthogonal basis \uparrow

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101)

Theorem (Hadamard)

For an n-dimensional lattice L with basis vectors b_1, \ldots, b_n and determinant d(L),

$$d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i|.$$

Theorem (Hadamard)

For an n-dimensional lattice L with basis vectors b_1, \ldots, b_n and determinant d(L),

$$d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i|.$$

Corollary

If the basis is orthogonal, say b_1^*, \ldots, b_n^* , then this becomes the equality

$$d(L) = \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i^*|.$$

The LLL algorithm

Two LLL conditions

A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

The LLL algorithm

Two LLL conditions

A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \le j < i \le n$
B) $|b_i^* + \mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2 \ge \frac{3}{4}|b_{i-1}^*|^2$ for $1 < i \le n$

-

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ト ・

The LLL algorithm Two LLL conditions

A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$
B) $|b_i^* + \mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}|b_{i-1}^*|^2$ for $1 < i \leq n$
B) $|b_i^*|^2 \geq (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

э

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

The LLL algorithm

A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \le j < i \le n$
B) $|b_i^* + \mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2 \ge \frac{3}{4}|b_{i-1}^*|^2$ for $1 < i \le n$
B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

 \rightarrow Recall that the triangle inequality implies:

$$|b_i^*|^2 + |\mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2 \ge |b_i^* + \mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

The LLL algorithm

A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \le j < i \le n$
B) $|b_i^* + \mu_{i,i-1}b_{i-1}^*|^2 \ge \frac{3}{4}|b_{i-1}^*|^2$ for $1 < i \le n$
B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

ightarrow [Micciancio, 2010] The $rac{3}{4}$ factor may be replaced by $\delta\in(rac{1}{4},1)$

Main theorem

Theorem (Lenstra, Lenstra, Lovasz)

A lattice with basis vectors b_1, \ldots, b_n satisfying both conditions (A) and (B) has the following properties:

•
$$d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$$

• $|b_1| \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$

Main theorem

Theorem (Lenstra, Lenstra, Lovasz)

A lattice with basis vectors b_1, \ldots, b_n satisfying both conditions (A) and (B) has the following properties:

•
$$d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$$

• $|b_1| \leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in I$

The LLL algorithm

Proof of main theorem

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

Image: A match a ma

The LLL algorithm

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$
1 $d(L) \le \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i| \le 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$

Image: A match a ma

(A) $|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L) \\ \text{The LHS is the Hadamard inequality.} \end{array}$

(A) $|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

• $d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$ The RHS is from the two LLL conditions. Taken together,

$$|b_i^*|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}|b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

The LLL algorithm Proof of main theorem

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

• $d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$ Thus, by induction

$$|b_j^*|^2 \le 2^{i-j} |b_i^*|^2$$
, for $i \ge j$.

< A

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

• $d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$ Thus, by induction

$$|b_j^*|^2 \le 2^{i-j} |b_i^*|^2$$
, for $i \ge j$.

Now Gram-Schmidt gives

$$|b_i|^2 \le |b_i^*|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{4} 2^{i-j} |b_i^*|^2$$
(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$
4 $d(L) \le \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i| \le 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$

$$|b_i|^2 \le |b_i^*|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} rac{1}{4} 2^{i-j} |b_i^*|^2 = |b_i^*|^2 \left(1 + rac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^j
ight)$$

<ロト </p>

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$
4 $d(L) \le \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i| \le 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$

$$\begin{split} |b_i|^2 &\leq |b_i^*|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{4} 2^{i-j} |b_i^*|^2 \quad = \quad |b_i^*|^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^j \right) \\ &= |b_i^*|^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{2^i - 1}{2 - 1} - 1 \right] \right) = |b_i^*|^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \left[2^i - 2 \right] \right) \\ &\leq 2^{i-1} \cdot |b_i^*|^2 \end{split}$$

Image: A match a ma

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \geq (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$
a $d(L) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{4}} \cdot d(L)$
With $|b_i|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} \cdot |b_i^*|^2$ and $|b_i| \leq 2^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \cdot |b_i^*|$, we can write

$$egin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^n |b_i| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n 2^{rac{i-1}{2}} \cdot |b_i^*| \ &= 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n rac{i-1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i^*| \ &= 2^{rac{n(n-1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^n |b_i^*| \end{aligned}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

- ② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$
- ightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$

ightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$

• Write
$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{i} s_j b_j = \sum_{j=1}^{i} t_j b_j^*$$
,
 $s_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$, and *i* the largest index having $t_i \neq 0$

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$

ightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$

• Write
$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\prime} s_j b_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\prime} t_j b_j^*$$
,
 $s_j \in \mathbb{Z}, t_j \in \mathbb{R}$, and *i* the largest index having $t_i \neq 0$
• The RHS equality is

$$x = t_i \cdot b_i^* + t_{i-1} \cdot b_{i-1}^* + \dots + t_1 \cdot b_1^* = t_i \left(b_i - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,k} b_j^* \right) + t_{i-1} (\dots) + \dots$$

so that $t_i = s_i$

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

- ② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$
- \rightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$

ightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$

• Since
$$t_i\in\mathbb{Z}$$
, then $|x|^2\geq t_i\cdot|b_i^*|^2\geq |b_i^*|^2$
 $\Rightarrow |b_1|^2\leq 2^{n-1}|b_i^*|^2\leq 2^{n-1}|x|^2$

- (A) $|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$ (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$
 - ② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$
- \rightarrow We just showed that $|b_j|^2 \leq 2^{i-1} |b_i^*|^2$, for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$
 - Since $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{split} |x|^2 &\ge t_i \cdot |b_i^*|^2 \ge |b_i^*|^2 \\ \Rightarrow |b_1|^2 &\le 2^{n-1} |b_i^*|^2 \le 2^{n-1} |x|^2 \end{split}$$

(A)
$$|\mu_{i,j}| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \le j < i \le n$$
 (B) $|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$

- ② $|b_1| \le 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot |x|$, for all non-zero vectors $x \in L$
- \rightarrow This is the 2^{O(n)} approximation bound we promised earlier!

The LLL algorithm Idea behind the algorithm

- an "induction"-style algorithm, where the index k is a moving target
- begin with k = 2

The LLL algorithm Idea behind the algorithm

- an "induction"-style algorithm, where the index k is a moving target
- begin with k = 2
- ensure that (A) is satisfied for all b_i with index $i \leq k$

(A)
$$\mu_{i,j} \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $1 \le j < i \le k$

- an "induction"-style algorithm, where the index k is a moving target
- begin with k = 2
- ensure that (A) is satisfied for all b_i with index $i \leq k$
- ensure that (B) is satisfied for b_k and b_{k-1}

(B)
$$|b_k^*|^2 \ge \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2$$

- an "induction"-style algorithm, where the index k is a moving target
- begin with k = 2
- ensure that (A) is satisfied for all b_i with index $i \leq k$
- ensure that (B) is satisfied for b_k and b_{k-1}
- increment k until we reach k = n

(B)
$$|b_k^*|^2 \ge \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2$$

The gory details

```
LLL-REDUCE(I):
// Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1
k := 2
while k \leq n
    A-SAT(k)
    if ( |b_k^*|^2 < \left(rac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 )
        SWAP(b_k, b_{k-1})
        k := max(2, k-1)
    else
        k := k + 1
```

The gory details

```
LLL-REDUCE(I):
// Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1
k := 2
while k \leq n
    A-SAT(k)
    if( |b_k^*|^2 < \left(rac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2
ight) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 )
        SWAP(b_k, b_{k-1})
        k := max(2, k-1)
    else
        k := k + 1
```

The gory details

A-SAT(k):

// Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

-

The gory details

A-SAT(k): // Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

for
$$\ell = k - 1$$
 to 1
 $r := \lceil \mu_{k,\ell}
floor$
 $b_k := b_k - r \cdot b_\ell$
 $\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - r$
end

-

The gory details

```
A-SAT(k):

// Invariant: (A) is satisfied for \mu_{k,j} with \ell < j < k

for \ell = k - 1 to 1

r := [\mu_{k,\ell}]

b_k := b_k - r \cdot b_\ell

\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - r
```

end

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

The gory details

A-SAT(k):// Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

for
$$\ell = k - 1$$
 to 1
 $r := \lceil \mu_{k,\ell}
floor$
 $\mathbf{b}_k := \mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{b}_\ell$
 $\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - \mathbf{r}$
end

э

The gory details

A-SAT(k): // Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

for
$$\ell = k - 1$$
 to 1
 $r := \lceil \mu_{k,\ell}
floor$
 $b_k := b_k - r \cdot b_\ell$
 $\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - r$

$$ightarrow \mu_{k,\ell} = rac{\langle b_k, b_\ell^*}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^*
angle}$$

The gory details

A-SAT(k):// Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

for
$$\ell = k - 1$$
 to 1
 $r := \lceil \mu_{k,\ell}
floor$
 $b_k := b_k - r \cdot b_\ell$
 $\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - r$

ena

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rightarrow & \mu_{k,\ell} = \frac{\langle b_k, b_\ell^* \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} \\ & \mu_{k,\ell}' := \frac{\langle b_k - r \cdot b_\ell, b_\ell^* \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} \ = \ \frac{\langle b_k, b_\ell^* \rangle - r \cdot \langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} \end{array}$$

э.

The gory details

A-SAT(k):// Invariant: (A) is satisfied for $\mu_{k,j}$ with $\ell < j < k$

for
$$\ell = k - 1$$
 to 1
 $r := \lceil \mu_{k,\ell}
floor$
 $b_k := b_k - r \cdot b_\ell$
 $\mu_{k,\ell} := \mu_{k,\ell} - r$

end

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rightarrow & \mu_{k,\ell} = \frac{\langle b_k, b_\ell^* \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} \\ & \mu_{k,\ell}' := \frac{\langle b_k - r \cdot b_\ell, b_\ell^* \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} = \frac{\langle b_k, b_\ell^* \rangle - r \cdot \langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell \rangle}{\langle b_\ell^*, b_\ell^* \rangle} \\ & = \mu_{k,\ell} - r \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101)

э

The gory details

LLL-REDUCE(L): // Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1

 $\begin{array}{l} k:=2\\ \text{while } k\leq n\\ \textbf{A-SAT}(k)\\ \text{if}(\ |b_k^*|^2\geq \left(\frac{3}{4}-\mu_{k,k-1}^2\right)|b_{k-1}^*|^2 \text{)}\\ k:=k+1\\ \text{else}\\ \text{SWAP}(b_k,\ b_{k-1})\\ k:=max(2,k-1) \end{array}$

The gory details

LLL-REDUCE(L): // Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1

```
\begin{array}{l} k := 2 \\ \text{while } k \leq n \\ \text{A-SAT}(k) \\ \text{if}(\ |b_k^*|^2 \geq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 ) \\ k := k+1 \\ \text{else} \\ \\ \text{SWAP}(b_k, \ b_{k-1}) \\ k := max(2, k-1) \end{array}
```

The gory details

LLL-REDUCE(L): // Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1

```
\begin{array}{l} k := 2 \\ \texttt{while} \ k \leq n \\ \texttt{A-SAT}(k) \\ \texttt{if}(\ |b_k^*|^2 \geq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 \ \texttt{)} \\ k := k+1 \end{array}
```

else

The gory details

LLL-REDUCE(L): // Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1

$$\begin{array}{l} k:=2 \\ \texttt{while } k \leq n \\ \texttt{A-SAT}(k) \\ \texttt{if}(\ |b_k^*|^2 \geq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 \ \texttt{)} \\ k:=k+1 \end{array}$$

else

$$SWAP(b_k, b_{k-1})$$

$$k := max(2, k-1)$$

end

• condition (A) may no longer be satisfied!

The gory details

LLL-REDUCE(L): // Outputs basis b_1, \ldots, b_n with short b_1

 $\begin{array}{l} k:=2 \\ \text{while } k \leq n \\ \text{A-SAT}(k) \\ \text{if}(\ |b_k^*|^2 \geq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{k,k-1}^2\right) |b_{k-1}^*|^2 \text{)} \\ k:=k+1 \\ \text{else} \\ \text{SWAP}(b_k,\ b_{k-1}) \\ k:=\max(2,k-1) \end{array}$

end

- condition (A) may no longer be satisfied!
- backtrack to fix

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101)

Correctness of the algorithm

Correctness is "obvious!"

Image: Image:

Correctness is "obvious!"

Upon termination:

- Condition (A) is met
- Condition (B) is met

Correctness is "obvious!"

Upon termination:

- Condition (A) is met
- Condition (B) is met
- \Rightarrow The basis is LLL-reduced, so b_1 within $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ of the shortest vector

• Less "obvious": whether LLL ever stops!

- Less "obvious": whether LLL ever stops!
- We investigate with a potential function argument

Define

$$d_i = \det(L(b_1,\ldots,b_i))^2 = \prod_{1 \le j \le i} |b_j^*|^2$$

- Less "obvious": whether LLL ever stops!
- We investigate with a potential function argument

Define

$$d_i = \det(L(b_1,\ldots,b_i))^2 = \prod_{1 \le j \le i} |b_j^*|^2$$

and

$$D=\prod_{i=1}^n d_i.$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

• The A-SAT() routine never affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$ • Only the SWAP() routine affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

- The A-SAT() routine never affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Only the SWAP() routine affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Observe that d_i is unaffected if $i \neq (k-1)$:
Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

- The A-SAT() routine never affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Only the SWAP() routine affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Observe that d_i is unaffected if $i \neq (k-1)$:
 - i < (k-1): no basis vectors in $L(b_1, \ldots, b_i)$ are changed

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

• The A-SAT() routine never affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$

- Only the SWAP() routine affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Observe that d_i is unaffected if $i \neq (k-1)$:
 - i < (k-1): no basis vectors in $L(b_1, \ldots, b_i)$ are changed
 - i > (k-1): $L(b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k, \ldots, b_i) = L(b_1, \ldots, b_k, b_{k-1}, \ldots, b_i)$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

• The A-SAT() routine never affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$

- Only the SWAP() routine affects the values of $|b_i^*|^2$
- Observe that d_i is unaffected if $i \neq (k-1)$:
 - i < (k-1): no basis vectors in $L(b_1, \ldots, b_i)$ are changed
 - i > (k-1): $L(b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k, \ldots, b_i) = L(b_1, \ldots, b_k, b_{k-1}, \ldots, b_i)$
- However when i = (k 1), then

$$L(b_1,\ldots,b_{k-2},b_{k-1})$$

becomes

$$L(b_1,\ldots,b_{k-2},b_k)$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k))^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k-1}))^2}$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k))^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k-1}))^2}$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k))^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k-1}))^2} \\ = \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} |b_j^*|^2\right) \cdot |b_k^*|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |b_j^*|^2}$$

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101)

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k))^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k-1}))^2} \\ = \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} |b_j^*|^2\right) \cdot |b_k^*|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |b_j^*|^2}$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} |b_j^*|^2 \right)$$

Say D is pre-swap, D' is post-swap, then:

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_k))^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i\right) \cdot \det(L(b_1, \dots, b_{k-2}, b_{k-1}))^2}$$
$$= \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} |b_j^*|^2\right) \cdot |b_k^*|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} |b_j^*|^2}$$
$$= \frac{|b_k^*|^2}{|b_{k-1}^*|^2}$$

Jeremy Porter (CSCI-6101)

April 4, 2011 18 / 20

Termination of the algorithm

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{|b_k^*|^2}{|b_{k-1}^*|^2}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Recall the LLL conditions:} \\ \mbox{A}) \ |\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} & \mbox{ for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n \end{array}$

B)
$$|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

Image: A math a math

Termination of the algorithm

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{|b_k^*|^2}{|b_{k-1}^*|^2}$$

B)
$$|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

Taken together, they imply

$$\frac{|b_i^*|^2}{|b_{i-1}^*|^2} \le \frac{3}{4}$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{|b_k^*|^2}{|b_{k-1}^*|^2}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Recall the LLL conditions:} \\ \mbox{A}) \ |\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} & \mbox{ for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n \end{array}$

B)
$$|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

Taken together, they imply

$$rac{|b_i^*|^2}{|b_{i-1}^*|^2} \leq rac{3}{4} \quad ext{ so } \quad D' \leq rac{3}{4} \cdot D$$

Termination of the algorithm

$$\frac{D'}{D} = \frac{|b_k^*|^2}{|b_{k-1}^*|^2}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Recall the LLL conditions:} \\ \mbox{A}) \ |\mu_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2} & \mbox{ for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n \end{array}$

B)
$$|b_i^*|^2 \ge (\frac{3}{4} - \mu_{i,i-1}^2)|b_{i-1}^*|^2$$

Taken together, they imply

$$rac{|b_i^*|^2}{|b_{i-1}^*|^2} \leq rac{3}{4} \quad ext{ so } \quad D' \leq rac{3}{4} \cdot D$$

After *m* swaps, this becomes

$$0 \le D^{(m)} \le (3/4)^m \cdot D$$

- Complexity of LLL on an *n*-dimensional lattice is $O(n^6 \log^3(B))$, where $B \ge |b_i|^2$ for $1 \le i \le n$
- This also assumes operating on integers of bit-length $O(n \log(B))$

- Complexity of LLL on an *n*-dimensional lattice is $O(n^6 \log^3(B))$, where $B \ge |b_i|^2$ for $1 \le i \le n$
- This also assumes operating on integers of bit-length $O(n \log(B))$
- Replacing Gram-Schmidt by *Householder orthogonalization* gives small improvements

- Complexity of LLL on an *n*-dimensional lattice is $O(n^6 \log^3(B))$, where $B \ge |b_i|^2$ for $1 \le i \le n$
- This also assumes operating on integers of bit-length $O(n \log(B))$
- Replacing Gram-Schmidt by *Householder orthogonalization* gives small improvements
- Nguyen, Stehlè (2007) modify LLL to run in $O(n^6 \log B + n^5 \log^2 B))$, which is only quadratic w.r.t log B